

**FEE TRANSMITTAL  
For FY 2004**

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

☒ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27**TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT** \$ 00.00**METHOD OF PAYMENT (Check all that apply)**☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other ☐ None☒ Deposit Account:

Deposit Account Number: 03-2769

Deposit Account Name: Conley Rose, P.C.

The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below☒ Charge any additional fee(s) during the pendency of this application☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-indicated deposit account☒ Credit any overpayments**FEE CALCULATION****1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
1001 770	2001 385	Utility filing fee	\$
1002 340	2002 170	Design filing fee	\$
1003 530	2003 265	Plant filing fee	\$
1004 770	2004 385	Reissue filing fee	\$
1005 160	2005 80	Provisional filing fee	\$

**SUBTOTAL (1) \$00.00****2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE**

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
24	24** = 0	9.00	\$00.00
Independent	1	3** = 0	43.00 = \$00.00
Claims			
Multiple Dependent			145.00 = \$00.00

Large Entity Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description
1202 18	2202 9	Claims in excess of 20
1201 86	2201 43	Independent Claims in excess of 3
1203 290	2203 145	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid
1204 86	2204 43	** Reissue independent claims over original patent
1205 18	2205 9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent

**SUBTOTAL (2) \$00.00**

\*\* or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

**Complete if Known**

Application Number	10/684,765
Filing Date	October 14, 2003
First Named Inventor	Desmond J. Latouche
Examiner Name	T. Nguyen
Art Unit	3644
Attorney Docket No.	2224-00300 DVF

**FEE CALCULATION (continued)****3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
1051 130	2051 65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	\$
1052 50	2052 25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	\$
1053 130	2053 65	Non-English specification	\$
1812 2,570	2570	For filing a request for <i>ex parte</i> examination	\$
18042 920*	1804 920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	\$
1805 1,840*	1805 1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	\$
1251 110	2251 55	Extension for reply within first month	\$
1252 420	2252 210	Extension for reply within second month	\$
1253 950	2253 475	Extension for reply within third month	\$
1254 1,480	2254 740	Extension for reply within fourth month	\$
1255 2,010	2255 1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	\$
1401 330	2401 165	Notice of Appeal	\$
1402 330	2402 165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	\$
1403 280	2403 140	Request for oral hearing	\$
1451 1,510	2451 1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	\$
1452 110	2452 55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	\$
1453 1,330	2453 665	Petition to revive - unintentional	\$
1501 1,330	2501 665	Utility issue fee (or witness)	\$
1502 420	2502 210	Design issue fee	\$
1503 640	2503 320	Plant issue fee	\$
1460 130	2460 65	Petitions to the Commissioner	\$
1807 50	2806 25	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(g)	\$
122 50	222 25	Petitions related to provisional applications	\$
1806 180	2806 90	Submission of Information Disclosure Sheet	\$
8021 40	2021 20	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	\$
1809 770	2809 385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	\$
1810 770	2810 385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	\$
1801 770	2801 385	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	\$
1802 900	2802 450	Request for expedited examination of a design application	\$

Other fee (specify)

\*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

**SUBTOTAL (3) \$00.00****Complete (if applicable)****SUBMITTED BY**

Name (Print/Type)	DEREK V. FORINASH	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	47,231	Telephone	(713) 238-8000
Signature		Date	July 27, 2004		

**WARNING:** Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 13 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: DESMOND J. LATOUCHE

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

ART UNIT: 3644

SERIAL NO.: 10/684,765

EXAMINER:  
T. NGUYEN

FILED: OCTOBER 14, 2003

CONFIRMATION NO.: 3350

FOR: MODULAR SPIN FISHING  
SYSTEM

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT DATED JUNE 28, 2004

Atty. Dkt. No.: 2224-00300

Clk. Ref. No.: 24842-0012

Date: July 27, 2004

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner For Patents  
P. O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Office Action dated June 28, 2004.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

**REMARKS**

In the Office Action the Examiner issued a requirement for restriction. The Examiner requires an election of species and found that there are no generic claims. The Examiner requires election of one fishing rod holder, identified as Species I-V, one fishing rod handle, identified as Species A-C, and one Fishing rod, identified as Species 1-3.

In response, the Applicant elects Species I, B, and 2, corresponding to Figures 1, 2, and 5, as well as item 24b of Figure 7 and item 20b of Figure 8. The Applicant traverses the finding that there is no generic claim and asserts that at least claim 1 is generic.

**Claims Corresponding to Elected Species**

The Applicant elects the fishing rod holder of Species I as reflected in Figures 1, 2, and 5. Further, the Applicant elects the fishing rod handle of Species B as reflected in item 24b of Figure 7. Still further, the Applicant elects the fishing rod of Species 2 as reflected in item 20b of Figure 8. The Applicant also contends that Species III (no. 12a of Figure 6) encompasses components identical to those of Species II in Figures 3 and 4. Similarly, Species IV (no. 12b of Figure 6) encompasses components identical to those of Species I in Figures 1, 2, and 5.

Claims 1-21 and 23-24 are readable on the combination of Species I, B, and 2. Thus, of the pending claims, only claim 22 includes limitations not found in Species I/B/2.

**Generic Claims**

As stated in MPEP 806.04(d), "a generic claim should include no material element additional to those recited in the species claims, and must comprehend within its confines the organization covered in each of the species." Thus, a generic claim cannot include limitations not present in each of the species claims. The present application has only one independent claim, claim 1. By definition, each of the remaining claims (2-24) includes all of the limitations found in claim 1. Further, the limitations found in claim 1 are found in each of the species identified by the Examiner. Therefore, claim 1 should be considered a generic claim.

Respectfully submitted,



Derek V. Forinash  
Reg. No. 47,231  
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.  
P. O. Box 3267  
Houston, Texas 77253-3267  
(713) 238-8000  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT